UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,653                        | 01/22/2005                     | Oleg Iliich Epshtein    |                     | 8593             |
| 27538<br>GIBSON & DE              | 7590 12/08/200<br>RNIER L.L.P. | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |
| 900 ROUTE 9 I                     |                                | SZPERKA, MICHAEL EDWARD |                     |                  |
| SUITE 504<br>WOODBRIDGE, NJ 07095 |                                |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                                |                         | 1644                |                  |
|                                   |                                |                         |                     |                  |
|                                   |                                |                         | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                   |                                |                         | 12/08/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)    |  |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments   | 10/522,653  | EPSHTEIN ET AL. |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit        |  |  |  |
|  | Michael Szperka   | 1644            |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 Se</u>   | entember 2008   |                 |  |  |  |
|  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| ·=   | · <del></del>   |                 |  |  |  |
|  | ) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                 |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under L   | x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40  | 0.0.210.        |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-9</u> is/are pending in the appli  | cation.   |                 |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| ·  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| · · · · ·  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1, 2, and 6-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |                 |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | epted or b) objected to by the E  | Examiner.       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                 |  |  |  |
|  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4)  Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5)  Notice of Informal Pa<br>6)  Other:   | te              |  |  |  |
|  | -/  |                 |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/522,653 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 29, 2008 has been entered.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended.

Claim 3 has been canceled.

Claims 6-9 have been added.

Claims 1, 2, and 4-9 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 4 and 5 stand withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03, for reasons of record set forth in the restriction requirement mailed February 22, 2007.

Newly added claims 6-9 are drawn to medicaments and are therefore properly part of Group I as set forth in the restriction requirement of 2/22/07. Group I was previously elected for prosecution by applicant in response to said restriction requirement.

Applicants new claims added 9/29/08 have introduced additional limitations which have necessitated additional restriction detailed below.

## Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of disorders that are to be treated with the medicaments of Group I. Applicant is to elect a disorder from the group consisting of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia and prostatitis. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different

Art Unit: 1644

species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In the instant case, the diseases are distinct, encompassing different clinical signs and symptoms, different patient populations, distinct etiologies and projected patient outcomes. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1, for example, generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Szperka whose telephone number is (571)272-2934. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen O'Hara can be reached on 571-272-0878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Szperka, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644

/Michael Szperka/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644